

THE SYNTAX OF ADJECTIVES

A comparative study

Guglielmo Cinque

Contents

Acknowledgments

Introduction

Chapter 1. Problems for N movement in Romance

- 1.1 An apparent restriction on the number of postnominal adjectives in Romance
- 1.2 Existence of unexpected mirror-image orders between Germanic and Romance
- 1.3 Existence of unexpected scope effects in Romance

Chapter 2. A systematic contrast between English (Germanic) and Italian (Romance)

- 2.1 Individual-level vs. stage-level readings
- 2.2 Restrictive vs. nonrestrictive readings
- 2.3 Modal vs. implicit relative clause readings
- 2.4 Intersective vs. nonintersective (adverbial) readings
- 2.5 Relative (to a comparison class) vs. absolute readings
- 2.6 Comparative vs. absolute readings of superlatives
- 2.7 Specificity- vs. non-specificity inducing readings
- 2.8 Evaluative vs. epistemic readings of ‘unknown’.
- 2.9 NP dependent vs. discourse anaphoric readings of ‘different’
- 2.10 Summary of the basic generalizations.
 - 2.10.1 An asymmetric distribution of interpretive possibilities
 - 2.10.2 Postnominal adjectives in English (first part)
 - 2.10.3 Order of the two readings in prenominal position in English (Germanic)
 - 2.10.4 Order of the two readings in postnominal position in Italian (Romance)

2.10.5 Summary of the order of the two readings in English (Germanic) and Italian (Romance)

2.11 An additional problem for the N movement analysis

Chapter 3. Phrasal movement and the two sources of adnominal adjectives

3.1 The two sources of adnominal adjectives

3.2 A summary of the interpretive properties associated with each source

3.3 A preview of the syntactic properties associated with each source

3.3.1. Relative distance from N

3.3.2 Rigid and non-rigid ordering

3.4 Summary of the semantic and syntactic properties of the two sources

3.5 Some cross-linguistic and acquisitional evidence for the dual source of adnominal adjectives

3.5.1 Languages lacking one of the two sources

3.5.1.1 Languages lacking direct modification adjectives

3.5.1.2 Languages lacking predicative (hence indirect modification) adjectives

3.5.2 Possible evidence from acquisition

3.6 Phrasal movement over head movement or base generation

Chapter 4. An analysis of the two sources of adjectives

4.1 A syntactic analysis of direct modification adjectives.

4.1.1 The functional nature of direct modification adjectives.

4.1.2 The phrasal nature of direct modification adjectives.

4.1.3 Some reasons for not deriving direct modification adjectives from relative clauses.

4.2 A syntactic analysis of indirect modification adjectives.

Chapter 5. An analysis of English (Germanic)

5.1 Prenominal adjectives in English (Germanic)

5.2 Postnominal adjectives in English (Germanic) and their derivation

5.2.1 Adjectives with complements or adjuncts

5.2.2 Other classes of postnominal adjectives in English

5.3 A potential problem

5.4 A reduced relative clause source for prenominal participles

5.5 *Something funny*

Chapter 6. An analysis of Italian (Romance)

6.1 Prenominal and postnominal adjectives in Italian (Romance)

6.2 The position of PP complements and adjuncts vis à vis adjectives in Italian (Romance)

6.3 The position of demonstrative reinforcers vis a vis adjectives in Italian (Romance)

6.4 Adjectives after *celui* in French

Chapter 7. Further differences between English (Germanic) and Italian (Romance)

7.1 Epithets with prenominal and postnominal adjectives.

7.2 Idiomatic readings with prenominal and postnominal adjectives.

7.3 A constraint on Romance prenominal adjectives.

Conclusion

Appendix. Further evidence for the dual source of adnominal adjectives

1. Chinese

2. Maltese

3. Bosnian/Croatian/Serbian

4. Romanian

5. Greek

6. Some notes on Russian and German

References

Indexes

Acknowledgements

This monograph is a revised and expanded version of a paper circulated in 2005 under the title “The Dual Source of Adjectives and Phrasal Movement in the Romance DP”. It grows out of work presented in classes at the University of Venice in 2002, at UCLA in the Winter quarter of 2003, at the 2005 Summer Institute of the Linguistic Society of America at Harvard and MIT, and in talks at the Universities of Padua (June 2003), Tromsø (October 2003), New York at Stony Brook (NELS 34, November 2003), Rome (31st Incontro di Grammatica Generativa, February 2005), Lille III (Colloque sur “Les Adjectifs”, September 2007), and the Chinese University of Hong Kong (May 2009).

I wish to thank the various audiences, and, in particular, Mark Baker, Adriana Belletti, Paola Benincà, Laura Brugè, Anna Cardinaletti, Giuliana Giusti, Alexander Grosu, Richard Kayne, Ed Keenan, Chris Kennedy, Richard Larson, Anoop Mahajan, David Pesetsky, Cecilia Poletto, Megan Rae, Luigi Rizzi, Walter Schweikert, Elisabeth Selkirk, Petra Sleeman, Dominique Sportiche, Melita Stavrou, and Tim Stowell.

For comments on previous drafts of this work I am indebted to Werner Abraham, Nadira Aljović, Stefania Chèvre, Francesca Del Gobbo, Iliyana Krapova, Christopher Laenzlinger, Chi Fung Lam, Nedžad Leko, Thomas Leu, Victor Manfredi, Waltraud Paul, Asya Pereltsvaig, Helen Trugman, Tong Wu, and four anonymous reviewers.

Special thanks go to Richard Larson for his comments, and for his inspiring work on the semantics of adjectives.